(30)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
Writ Petition (s) No. 6768 of 2006

Petitioner	Dr. Sudhir Khare, S/o. Late Shyam Bahaddur Khare, Aged about 55 years, Occupation: Service, Presently working as Assistant Homeopathy Medical Officer, Posted at Navin Homeopathic Dispensary, Raipur, R/o G-2/19, EAC Colony, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

Versus

Respondents	1	State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department of Public Health & Family Welfare, Mantralaya, D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
	2	Collector, Raipur, District: Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
	3	Director, Indian System of Medicine & Homeopathy, State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
	4	Chief Executive Officer, District Panchayat:Raipur, District: Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
	5	Chief Exeutive Officer, Janpad Panchayat: Dharsiwan, District: Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
	6	District Ayurved Officer, Raipur, District: Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
	7	Dr. (Smt.) Sonal Sharma, Assistant Homeopathy Medical Officer (contract appointee), Raipur, District: Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1, 2, 3 and 6/State.

ORDER
(Passed on this 17th day of April, 2007)

1. The notice was duly served on the respndent No. 7 as per postal remark, but the respndent No. 7 refused to accept the notice.

2. The petitioner has filed this petition challenging the transfer order dated 29.11.2006. By virtue of the interim order dated 5.12.2006,

(31)

passed by this Court, the petitioner is continuing at the original place of posting.

3. Be that as it may, with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous, as period of transfer is not applicable forever. At this point of time there is no question to examine the validity of the transfer order. However, the respondents are at liberty to pass appropriate orders, keeping in view the public interest and administrative exigency, without insisting on compliance of the impugned transfer order.

4. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

B/-